



Faculty of Engineering

**THERMAL COMFORT IN LOW COST HOUSING AT
BANDAR BARU SAMARIANG**

MOHAMAD KHAIRUN BIN ISHAK

Bachelor of Engineering with Honours
(Civil Engineering)
2009

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK
Kota Samarahan

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL : ASSESSMENT OF THERMAL COMFORT FOR USERS IN THE MINI LIBRARY IN KUCHING, SARAWAK

SESI PENGAJIAN : 2008/2009

Saya

MOHAMAD KHAIRUN BIN ISHAK

(HURUF BESAR)

mengaku membenarkan tesis * ini disimpan di Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.
2. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Membuat pendigitan untuk membangunkan Pangkalan Data Kandungan Tempatan.
4. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai pertukaran antara institut pengajian tinggi.
5. ** Sila tandakan (/) di kotak yang berkenaan

☐

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972).

☐

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan).

☒

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan Oleh:

(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

Alamat Tetap: NO. 7H JALAN KAKA,
96000 SIBU,
SARAWAK.

DR.SITI HALIPAH IBRAHIM
Nama Penyelia

Tarikh : _____

Tarikh : _____

CATATAN : * Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah, Sarjana dan Sarjana Muda.
 ** Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa / organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD

This Final Year Project report:

Title : THERMAL COMFORT IN LOW COST HOUSING AT
BANDAR BARU SAMARIANG, SARAWAK

Prepared by : MOHAMAD KHAIRUN BIN ISHAK

Matric No. : 14494

Is hereby read and approved by:

Dr. Siti Halipah Ibrahim
Project Supervisor

Date

**THERMAL COMFORT IN LOW COST HOUSING AT BANDAR BARU
SAMARIANG, SARAWAK**

MOHAMAD KHAIRUN BIN ISHAK

**This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for Degree of Bachelor of Engineering with Honors
(Civil Engineering)**

**Faculty of Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK
2009**

Dedicated to my beloved family

Thanks for everything

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to Allah S.W.T, for His Blessing for giving me the precious effort to complete this study successfully.

My special and sincere appreciation dedicated to my Supervisor, Dr. Siti Halipah Ibrahim, for her valuable knowledge, guidance and enthusiastic support in my study. Her contribution is the most valuable asset in this study.

Next, I would like to extend my special gratitude and appreciation to the UNIMAS for providing the equipments and also to the laboratory technician, Mr. Rozaini Ahmad for his useful guidance, opinion and technical advice throughout the study.

Not forgotten, special thanks to *Mr Ishak family, Mr Fizan and Mrs.* for allowing me to conduct the experimental works at their house. Hopefully the outcomes of this study will be useful for the future design.

This dissertation is dedicated to my beloved parents, Ishak Bin Hj. Ismail and Norkiah Bte Hj. Rakawi and all my siblings for giving their fully support throughout the period of my study.

Finally, thanks to all my lecturers, colleagues especially Kevin Kemarau, Adam Husairy, Fiza Firdauz, Syed Almunir and Amirul Adenan and everyone who involved either directly or indirectly in preparing this report for their diligent help and respective jobs.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Aim of study	7
1.2 Objectives of the study	7
 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.0 Introduction	8
2.1 Thermal comfort	9
2.1.1 Bodily Heat Transfer	11

2.2	Indices for Thermal Comfort	16
2.3	Building Envelope	18
2.3.1	Roof	19
2.3.2	Walls	19
2.3.3	Doors and Windows	20

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.0	Introduction	22
3.1	Site Selection	23
3.2	Equipment	24
3.3	Measurement Method	28
3.4	Measurement of Thermal Comfort Parameters	28
3.6.1	Air Velocity	29
3.6.3	Air Temperature	29
3.6.4	Mean Radiant Temperature	30
3.5.5	Relative Humidity	30

CHAPTER 4: DATA ANALYSIS

4.0	Introduction	32
4.1	Data Analysis	32
4.2	Case studies at the first house, H1	33
4.3	Case studies at Second House, H2	49
4.4	Case Studies at Third House, H3	60

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSIONS

5.0	Introduction	72
5.1	Building Orientation	73
5.2	The Significant of Roof Insulation	73
5.3	Climatic Design	74
5.4	Obstruction of Airflow	74
5.5	Size, Position and Type of Window	75

CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.0	Introduction	77
6.1	Conclusions	78
6.2	Recommendations for the Building Design	80
6.3	Recommendation for Future Works	81

REFERENCES	82
------------	----

APPENDIX A (Air temperature and Surface Temperature – H1)	84
---	----

APPENDIX B (Air temperature and Surface Temperature – H2)	90
---	----

APPENDIX C (Air temperature and Surface Temperature – H3)	96
---	----

APPENDIX D (Relative Humidity – H1, H2 and H3)	102
--	-----

APPENDIX E (Mean Radiant Temperature – H1, H2 and H3)	104
---	-----

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Table 2.1	ASHRAE Thermal Sensation Scale for PMV	17
Table 3.1	Equipment for Thermal Comfort's parameter measurement	24
Table 4.1	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 1 (H1)	39
Table 4.2	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 2 (H1)	42
Table 4.3	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 3 (H1)	44
Table 4.4	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 4 (H1)	45
Table 4.5	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 5 (H1)	48
Table 4.6	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 1 (H2)	52
Table 4.7	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 2 (H2)	54

Table 4.8	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 3 (H2)	56
Table 4.9	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 4 (H2)	57
Table 4.10	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 5 (H2)	59
Table 4.11	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 1 (H3)	64
Table 4.12	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 2 (H3)	66
Table 4.13	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 3 (H3)	67
Table 4.14	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 4 (H3)	69
Table 4.15	PMV and PPD of dissatisfaction for maximum air temperature in experiment 5 (H3)	71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
Figure 3.1	Anemometer	24
Figure 3.2	Globe Thermometer	25
Figure 3.3	Whirling Hygrometer	26
Figure 3.4	Data Logger	27
Figure 3.5	(a) Suspended Thermocouple	29
Figure 3.5	(b) Thermocouple attached to surface	31
Figure 3.6	CYTSOFT Psychrometric Chart 2.2	34
Figure 4.1	The view of H1	35
Figure 4.2	Study area at H1	37
Figure 4.3	The maximum air temperature of each node point Of thermocouples	38
Figure 4.4	Opening under zinc roofing of kitchen area	41
Figure 4.5	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	43
Figure 4.6	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	45
Figure 4.7	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	47

Figure 4.8	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	49
Figure 4.9	The view of H2	50
Figure 4.10	The study area at H2	52
Figure 4.11	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	53
Figure 4.12	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	55
Figure 4.13	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	57
Figure 4.14	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	58
Figure 4.15	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	60
Figure 4.16	The study area at H3	61
Figure 4.17	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	63
Figure 4.18	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	65
Figure 4.19	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	67
Figure 4.20	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	68

Figure 4.21	The maximum air temperature of each node point of thermocouples	70
Figure 5.1	Picture of the concrete gate and steel gate of the house	75
Figure 6.1	Proposed overhang for H1	80

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IBS	Industrialized Building System
PMV	Predicted Mean Vote
PPD	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied

ABSTRAK

Kerajaan Malaysia telah mengambil inisiatif untuk menyediakan perumahan kos rendah bagi menampung jumlah rakyat yang semakin bertambah di kawasan bandar untuk mereka yang mempunyai pendapatan rendah. Disebabkan permintaan yang tinggi terhadap rumah kos rendah, pengusaha perumahan telah mengambil kesempatan. Rekaan arkitek untuk rumah kos rendah ini kurang mengambil kira suasana dalam dan sekitar luar yang menyebabkan ketidakselesaan wujud di dalam rumah berkenaan. Situasi itu menyebabkan penghuni mengambil keputusan mengubah bentuk rumah mereka memenuhi keselesaan terma yang diperlukan. Dalam kajian ini, tiga buah rumah yang berlainan orientasi di Bandar Baru Samariang dipilih. Beberapa eksperimen dijalankan bagi mengkaji keselesaan terma di dalam rumah. Data kemudiannya dianalisa menggunakan “*Predict Mean Vote (PMV)*” dan “*Predicted Percentages of Dissatisfied (PPD)*”. Dari analisa, mendapati bahawa rumah yang diubahsuai masih juga tidak dapat mencapai keselesaan terma yang diinginkan. Untuk memastikan rekaan rumah yang sesuai untuk mencapai keslesaan terma tanpa menggunakan tenaga, beberapa cadangan telah dikemukakan seperti membina siling asbestos, menggunakan tingkap “*casement*” dan membina bumbung yang lebar untuk melindungi dinding yang terdedah dengan radiasi matahari.

ABSTRACT

Malaysian Government takes an initiative to provide low-cost housing areas to accommodate the rapid growth population in the urban area for the low-income people. Due to the high demand of the low-cost house, the developers start taking advantages. The architecture design of the low-cost houses was lack of consideration of indoor climate and environment of the house which resulting the discomfort environment inside the house. Therefore the occupant of the house take action by renovated their house to adapt with the condition to fulfill their needed thermal comfort. For this study, three low-cost houses were selected with different building orientation at Bandar Baru Samariang. Several experimental works were conducted to study thermal comfort inside the house. Then data were analyzed using Predict Mean Vote (PMV) and Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied (PPD). From the data analysis, it indicated that the renovated houses did not achieve thermal comfort required. To ensure the suitable design of the house several suggestions has been stated such as build asbestos ceiling, using casement window and build overhang roof to shade the wall that exposed to sun radiation.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

Malaysia is undergone a rapid growth in the urban area causing a housing shortage. Thus Malaysia is facing a similar problem with others country that to meet demand of urban populace particularly for low-income people. Thus in third till seventh Malaysian plan, the government always emphasize on building more low-cost houses to meet the demand.

According to the Seventh Malaysian's Plan (1996-2000), public and private sector has completed 190,597 units of low-income house but decreased by 27% from sixth Malaysian Plan that accumulated 261,386 units. The major problem is that the downturn

of Malaysia economic in 1997 but the number of construction show there are still lots of demand in this house category.

Due to high demand in low-cost houses, the developers have take advantages toward the buyers. The architecture design of the low-cost houses was lack of consideration of indoor climate and environment of the house. Problem with low-cost house buyers, they did not have the knowledge in environmental study in housing design. The only aspects that the buyers consider are the affordable prices of the house and the compound area of the house.

The consequences the discomfort environment would be noticeable after the buyer has occupied the houses. Therefore the occupant of house has renovated their house as their solution to achieve their own suitable thermal comfort. This is what happens in the low-cost houses area in Bandar Baru Samariang, almost every house in the area has undergone renovation.

Bandar Baru Samariang is one of the low-cost housing which had been developed by the government during the seventh Malaysia plan which applied Industrialized Building System (IBS) construction method. Unlike the conventional construction method, this method is using prefabricated component. The manufacturing of the components is systematically done using machine, formworks and other forms of

mechanical equipment. After the components are completed manufacture at offsite, it will be delivered to construction sites for assembly and erection. This development is one of an example of IBS construction method which shows modern and very systematic in construction application. (Abd. Rahman, 2006)

This Industrialized Building System was not new in Malaysia. Actually, Industrialized Building System was introduced in Malaysia as early as in 1960's but only 1998 the government make an effort as leader to persuade the construction industry in Malaysia to engaged IBS. Incooperate with Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB), Industrialized Building System (IBS) Roadmap 2003-2010 is published to give the guide and outline on several strategies and steps to promote the use of IBS in Malaysia. The advantages of IBS are to reduce the use of foreign labours, to give new level of the construction industry and show the new image of professionalism in the industry.

Eventually the IBS construction method is often related with negative meaning as it is always linked with industrialized buildings that were built in 1960s. These buildings are normally associated with prefabricated mass construction method, low quality buildings, leakages, abandoned projects, unpleasant architectural appearances and other drawbacks. Abd Rahman (2006) stated the reason for the failure is because of the lack of knowledge and exposure to IBS technology. Examples of the building with the problem are Pekeliling Flats, Kuala Lumpur and Taman Tun Sardon, Gelugor, Penang.

This same housing design is also similar to the low cost housing in Bandar Baru Samariang that was built in 1998. The house was build with simple prefabricate materials and steel frames build and roof trusses. The architecture design of the building are simple but lack consideration of the thermal comfort for occupant especially in tropical climate in Malaysia.

For example the roof of the house is built without any ceiling and radiant barrier that can prevent heat transmittion from the zinc roof into space inside house. Radiant barrier can be a layer of aluminum foil placed in airspace to block radiant heat transfer from the roof and ceiling. Lack of this insulation material, result the temperature in the house increased significantly during day time. Another example is that, there is no appropriate ventilation system in the house causing the hot air trap inside the house and no air circulations happen. This house also was built without significant wall protection from sun radiation. In addition lightweight concrete is very thin and can easily transmit the heat inside the house.

Study done by Ibrahim (2004) based on thermal comfort inside the low-cost housing with no occupant in Bandar Baru Samariang indicate that the low-cost house in Bandar Baru Samariang is thermally discomfort. This thermal comfort study is done based on four factors; air temperature, air velocity, humidity and mean radiant temperature using Corrective Effective Temperature index on a basic design of house

before renovation. From this study, it is very much reflecting to the changes happen after 5 years of study. As mentioned before, most of the house in the area has been renovated to suit their thermal comfort.

As Nicol, (2003) said *‘in the 21st century people can decide with the flick of a switch what the indoor temperature will be. It is easier, after all, to use a little fossil fuel to run the air conditions than to bother with the complexities of harnessing the fickle wind and the burning sun!’* With this statement it show that air conditioning system is more likely to be the choice of people today to change the condition of their environment space but it cost some energy and expensive.

In the study area of low-cost housing area of Bandar Baru Samariang, most of the occupants are low-income earners. On the first view is that air conditioning system is not an option to them because it could affect their cost of living. The results that have been expected come out differently, after 5 years there are lot changes happen. As mentioned above the low cost houses in the area are renovated, and an air condition is also a choice to several of the occupants to their comfort. One of the factors is the increases of status of living from low-income group to the range of low-medium income group of the occupants.

Some study by Nicol (2003) stated that people tend to adapt with their environment after certain period of time, climate and building services. But other factor also contributes, such as economical factor. In the study area, low-income people tend to adapt with the environmental temperature due to this economical constraint. Thus this factor contributes to thermal comfort level which will affect the surrounding accepted by them. Adaptive principle stated that *'If a change occurs such as to produce discomfort, people react in ways which tend to restore their comfort'* (Nicol, 2003). In this study, the study that will be focus is the renovation area which will evaluated on the thermal comfort level.

In terms of energy consumption, there is the different between houses that using air conditioning unit to house that only applied the thermal comfort concept to their home to achieve the same result. It is possible to design a house which fulfill thermal comfort requirement without air conditioning system and even can stay cool throughout the year. (Mohd Peter Davis, 1993).

In the Kyoto agreement, there is an international imperative to reduce energy consumption and its associated anthropogenic emissions which contribute to pollution and global climate change. Nicol, (2003) also state that *'if it is in your power to decide the indoor climate of a building, then you have to know exactly what it should be'*. Thus in this study the result of thermal comfort of renovated low-cost house can be indicate

the possible improvement of thermal comfort and can be compare to the original design house of low-cost housing.

1.1 Aim of the study

The aim of study is to compare the thermal comfort inside original design of low-cost houses with renovated low-cost houses after ten years has been occupied. In order to accomplish this aim of study, several objectives had been identified as stated below.

1.2 Objectives of the study

1. To study the thermal comfort inside original design house and renovated house
2. To compare the thermal comfort inside existing design house and renovated house
3. To determine the design parameters which contribute to effect of thermal comfort level inside the original design of the house and renovated of the house.
4. To suggest the type of design of house to improved the thermal comfort inside the house.